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General comments

Many candidates are well prepared for this unit assessment and provide comprehensive and relevant answers in response to the demands of the question paper. This includes the ability to demonstrate understanding of knowledge by applying it to workplace situations.

There are other candidates, however, who appear to be unprepared for the unit assessment and who show both a lack of knowledge of the syllabus content and a lack of understanding of how key concepts should be applied to workplace situations.

This report has been prepared to provide feedback on the standard date NGC1 examination sat in September 2018.

Feedback is presented in these key areas: responses to questions, examination technique and command words and is designed to assist candidates and course providers prepare for future assessments in this unit.

Candidates and course providers will also benefit from use of the ‘Guide to the NEBOSH National General Certificate in Occupational Health and Safety’ which is available via the NEBOSH website. In particular, the guide sets out in detail the syllabus content for NGC1 and tutor reference documents for each Element.

Additional guidance on command words is provided in ‘Guidance on command words used in learning outcomes and question papers’ which is also available via the NEBOSH website.

Candidates and course providers should also make reference to the NGC1 ‘Example question paper and Examiners’ feedback on expected answers’ which provides example questions and details Examiners’ expectations and typical areas of underperformance.
An organisation is investigating an accident.

(a) Give the meaning of the term ‘immediate causes’.  

(b) Give the meaning of the term ‘root causes’.  

(c) Outline why an organisation should investigate a workplace accident.  

(d) Identify internal documents that could be examined when investigating a workplace accident.  

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcomes 4.2: Explain the purpose of, and procedures for, investigating incidents (accidents, cases of work-related ill-health and other occurrences); and 4.3: Describe the legal and organisational requirements for recording and reporting incidents.

Many candidates did not demonstrate a clear understanding of the distinction between immediate causes and root causes, so were unable to give correctly defined meanings in their answers to parts (a) and (b). Many candidates were aware that unsafe acts and unsafe conditions are immediate causes, but did not seem aware that an accident can have several immediate causes. A large number of answers were in the form of examples of the two types of causes, describing specific workplace examples or scenarios, instead of giving the meanings.

Part (c) was generally well answered by the majority of candidates with a wide range of marks awarded. Most candidates were able to outline the reason for investigating workplace accidents, including such things as determination of the causes, preventing a recurrence, demonstrating management commitment, improving workplace morale/culture and to identify trends. However, many candidates focused answers on defending legal claims and actions (such as RIDDOR requirements, and the defence of civil claims) which wasted time where no marks could be awarded. Some candidates strayed off the topic and either described actions that would follow an accident investigation or detailed the actions that should form part of the investigation. This underlined the importance of reading and re-reading the question carefully, before starting to write the answer.

For part (d) most candidates were able to identify suitable examples of internal documents for examination during a workplace accident investigation.
Question 2

(a) **Outline** the legal requirement placed on employers regarding a written health and safety policy.  

(b) **Outline** the purpose of the following sections of a health and safety policy:

(i) statement of intent;  
(ii) organisation;  
(iii) arrangements.

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcomes 2.3: Describe the key features and appropriate content of an effective health and safety policy; and 2.2: Explain the purpose and importance of setting policy for health and safety.

Part (a) was generally well answered with many candidates able to recognise the source of legislation and that the employer must communicate the policy to their employees. Some answers lacked precision and were unclear about the employee numbers required for the policy to be in writing (ie five or more).

Most answers to part (b) showed that candidates are familiar with the three sections of a health and safety policy. However, the first two sections attracted better answers than the third. Many of the answers to (b) (iii) were based on a list of the topics that might be included in the arrangements section rather than outlining its purpose.

Question 3

(a) **Identify** types of emergency in the workplace for which people may need to be evacuated.  

(b) **Outline** why it is important to practice emergency procedures.

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 3.10: Outline the need for emergency procedures and the arrangements for contacting emergency services.

Part (a) was very well answered by almost all the candidates, clearly demonstrating a knowledge of the type of emergencies that may require an evacuation. Most candidates were able to offer a range of answers. Only a few candidates restricted their answers to similar emergencies such as fire and explosion, or listed different types of natural disasters and therefore limited their marks for this part of the question.

Part (b) was not so well answered, with candidates having little understanding of why it is important to practice the procedures. While a general answer of ‘to be able to practice so people know what to do’ was a popular response, this alone did not gain many marks. Candidates need to think more broadly about who needs to practice and why, and consider what systems and procedures are being checked.
Question 4  **Identify** the legal duties of the employer under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999.  

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 1.5: Explain the scope, duties, and offences of employers, managers, employees, and others under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations.

Many candidates demonstrated limited knowledge of the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999. Answers were limited to describing typical ways an employer can fulfil a common law duty of care to their employees, or quoted duties imposed under various sections of the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974. This approach meant that many candidates were able to gain a mark for risk assessment, but little else. Although learning outcome 1.5 is focused solely on these Regulations, it is a section of the syllabus that did not appear to have been understood by the majority of the candidates in this sitting.

Question 5  **Outline** how health and safety training might positively influence human reliability in the workplace.  

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 3.4: Explain how health and safety behaviour at work can be improved.

This question was not well answered as many candidates did not recognise the human reliability element of the question. Consequently answers such as demonstrating senior management commitment, were geared towards the value of delivering training rather than how it influences reliability. A few candidates misunderstood the question and suggested how they might deliver training effectively by using various media.

Many of the answers were based on the benefits that health and safety training for employees brings to the employer, rather than the effects of the training on human reliability – a completely different aspect.

Question 6  

(a)  **Identify** who should take part in a review of an organisation’s health and safety performance.  

(b)  **Outline** why records of health and safety management reviews should be maintained.

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 5.2: Explain the purpose of, and procedures for, regular reviews of health and safety performance.

Part (a) was well answered with many candidates identifying a good range of different personnel. Few candidates were able to recognise that external people may be useful as well as staff members, and some gave multiple job titles for what is essentially the competent person.

There was a mixed response to part (b). Some candidates did not recognise that the question was geared towards why the records should be kept, and outlined little that was relevant other than the identification of trends. Many candidates were only able to state that records should be held to defend legal actions taken against the organisation. There was limited depth or range of knowledge demonstrated to be able gain good marks on this part of the question.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 7</th>
<th>(a) Explain the objectives of carrying out a workplace risk assessment.</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Identify why a risk assessment may need to be reviewed.</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 3.5: Explain the principles and practice of risk assessment.

Part (a) was reasonably well answered by the majority of candidates. Some candidates explained the hierarchy of control instead, which was not required. Many other candidates explained how to conduct a risk assessment instead of the objectives of a risk assessment, which did not gain good marks. Other candidates gave specific definitions of what a risk assessment was, which again was not what the question was asking for.

Part (b) was also reasonably well answered. Candidates who were awarded low marks tended to give generic answers such as ‘when circumstances change’ which were not specific enough to gain marks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 8</th>
<th>(a) Outline why an organisation would carry out a health and safety inspection.</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Outline disadvantages of using a checklist to carry out a health and safety inspection.</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 4.1: Outline the principles, purpose and role of active and reactive monitoring.

In part (a) many of the candidates answered this as to ‘when’ an inspection should take place as opposed to ‘why’, demonstrating how important it is to read and re-read the questions carefully to ensure that they are properly interpreted, and answers are relevant to the question asked. Most candidates were able to outline that unsafe acts could be identified, as could opportunities for improvement. Few answers included that it was an opportunity to consult with the workforce.

Most candidates answered part (b) well, although some gave limited and repetitive answers, and were unable to outline a wide enough range of issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 9</th>
<th>(a) Outline the main features of ‘common law’.</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Identify common law duties that employers have to their employees.</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 1.3: Explain the legal framework for the regulation of health and safety including sources and types of law.

This question was generally well answered. Some candidates outlined features of civil law, suggesting a lack of understanding of sources and system of law. Other candidates outlined the differences between criminal and civil law while some outlined the court structures, explaining how civil cases are proven. This is not what the question was asking and as a result, marks gained on part (a) tended to be low.
Many of the answers to part (b) were based on the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974 rather than common law, but managed to gain some marks due to similarities between the two in some areas.

**Question 10**
Outline ways in which senior management can demonstrate commitment to health and safety in the workplace. (8)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 3.1: Outline the organisational health and safety roles and responsibilities of employers, directors, managers, and supervisors.

This question was reasonably well answered with many candidates outlining a number of ways in which senior management can demonstrate commitment to health and safety in the workplace. Although very few answers included such initiatives as integrating safety management and business decisions, appropriate board level review, and engagement and management of contractors.

Some candidates answered by outlining why senior managers should demonstrate commitment to health and safety, for which they got few marks. Some good answers lacked the breadth and range of ideas needed to be awarded maximum marks.

**Question 11**
(a) Give the meaning of the term ‘health and safety culture’. (2)
(b) Outline how a good health and safety culture can help improve health and safety performance. (6)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 3.2: Explain the concept of health and safety culture and its significance in the management of health and safety in the organisation.

In part (a) many candidates could not provide a sufficiently clear and accurate definition of the meaning of the term ‘health and safety culture’. Answers tended to be vague, rather than in the terms clearly described in the syllabus. While the answer does not have to be stated in only one specific way, answers given must demonstrate a clear understanding of the concept.

In part (b) many candidates gave answers suitable for a question regarding how to improve culture, eg provide adequate resources, rather than the question asked. Common answers included improving morale and fewer incidents. Few candidates recognised that good standards of communication and consultation might be things that improve safety culture. Most answers did not contain enough detail or the range required to gain good marks.
Examination technique

The following issues are consistently identified as the main areas in need of improvement for candidates taking Certificate level qualifications:

Candidates misread/misinterpreted the question

Candidates misreading or misinterpreting the question is by far the most common cause of candidates not gaining the maximum marks available.

NEBOSH questions are systematically and carefully prepared and are subject to a number of checks and balances prior to being authorised for use in question papers. These checks include ensuring that questions set for the Certificate level qualifications relate directly to the learning outcomes contained within the associated syllabus guides. The learning outcomes require candidates to be sufficiently prepared to provide the relevant depth of answer across a broad range of subject areas. For example, a candidate could be asked about the causes of stress, or could be asked about the effects of stress. A question could require a response relating to the principles of fire initiation, or a question could require a response relating to the spread of fire. Therefore, a candidate should focus not only on the general topic area (e.g., stress, fire) but also the specific aspect of that subject to which the question relates.

Candidates must also pay attention to the command word. For example, a question could ask candidates to ‘identify’ the hazards associated with demolition work, or a question from the same element could ask candidates to ‘outline’ the control measures required during demolition work. Candidates appear to focus solely on the object of the question (demolition) and do not pay sufficient attention to the subject (hazards or control measures in the examples given) or the command word (‘identify’ or ‘outline’ in the examples given). There is often some confusion between hazard and risk. If a question requires an outline of hazards for a given situation, candidates must be careful not to provide risks, or even in some circumstances precautions, as they will not be able to attract marks.

Examiners suggest that while many candidates do begin their answer satisfactorily and perhaps gain one or two marks, they then lose sight of the question and include irrelevant information. Although further points included in an answer can relate to the general subject area, these points are not focused on the specific learning outcome and marks cannot be awarded. However, some candidates appear to misread or misinterpret several questions. This situation is more likely due to candidates preparing for the examination with a number of memorised answers obtained through rote-learning, that again can provide answers that are loosely associated with the subject matter but do not provide answers specific to the question. Such an approach is clearly evident to an Examiner and demonstrates little understanding of the subject matter and marks are not awarded.

Candidates are advised to allow sufficient time to read and re-read the question in order to determine the key requirements prior to committing their answer to paper. Preparing a time plan before the examination will indicate how many minutes are available for each question and then part of this time allocation can be given to reading the question. Underlining or highlighting key words can assist in keeping focused on the salient points and simple mind maps or answer plans can also be useful. Maps and plans should be kept simple so as not to use up too much examination time.

Candidates did not respond effectively to the command word

A key indicator a question will be the command word, which is always given in bold typeface. The command word will indicate the depth of answer that is expected by the candidate and relates to the amount of detail that should be included in each point of the answer.

The learning outcomes in each element of all syllabus guides include the relevant command word that dictates the level of detail that should be covered in a course of study and the depth of answer that a candidate would be expected to provide in an answer to an examination question.

Examiners report that candidates continue to incorrectly observe the command words and therefore compromise their ability to gain the marks available. The majority of cases where command words are not observed relate to insufficient detail being given by a candidate in their examination answer. A significant number of candidates, irrespective of the command word given in the question, provide all answers in the form of a brief list of one or two words. This would normally not be sufficient to gain marks where the command word given was ‘outline’, ‘explain’ or ‘describe’, all of which require answers of more than one or two words.
Some candidates do provide too much information, which would not be required where a command word limits the expected answer to ‘give’ or ‘identify’. Candidates would not be penalised for providing excessive detail but this would not be an efficient use of the time allocated.

Course providers should ensure that learning materials complement the command words in the syllabus guide and the NEBOSH guidance on command words and that sufficient time is given to advising candidates on suitable examination technique during a course of study.

Candidates unnecessarily wrote the question down

Developing a time plan is a key element in preparing for an examination. Advice included on Certificate question papers suggests that 30 minutes should be allocated for the answer to the long 20-mark question, and 90 minutes should be allocated to the answers for the remaining ten, 8-mark short questions. Therefore there are around 9 minutes available to answer an 8-mark question. This time will be required for reading the question properly at least twice, developing an answer plan, and then committing the answer to paper while regularly referring back to the question in order to maintain focus. Therefore any inefficient use of this time should be avoided.

The efficient use of this time is essential in order to ensure that all questions can be answered within the 2 hours available. Many candidates feel it necessary to write out the question, in full, prior to providing their answer and although this practice will not lose marks it will lose valuable time. A significant number of candidates do not answer all of the questions in the time permitted and do not complete the question paper, some of whom obviously run out of time.

Candidates provided rote-learned responses that did not fit the question

It is clear that there are a significant number of candidates who seem to recite answers in the examination that have been rote-learned in advance and do not answer the question.

While knowledge of material forms a part of the study for a Certificate-level qualification, a key aspect being assessed is a candidate’s understanding of the subject and reciting a pre-prepared and memorised answer will not show a candidate’s understanding. In fact, if a candidate gives a memorised answer to a question that may look similar, but actually is asking for a different aspect of a topic in the syllabus, it shows a lack of understanding of the subject and will inevitably result in low marks being awarded for that answer.

Candidates repeated the same points but in different ways / Candidates provided the same answer to different questions

There are instances where candidates repeat very similar points in their answers, sometimes a number of times. This is easily done in the stressful environment of the examination. However, once a point has been successfully made and a mark awarded for it, that mark cannot be awarded again for similar points made later in the answer.

Candidates are advised to practise examination technique in their preparations to avoid this kind of pitfall. Writing an answer plan where points can be ticked off when made, or structuring an answer so that each point made is clearly shown, for example by underlining key points, can be of great use. This technique aids candidates and makes it much clearer in the stress of the examination for candidates to see which points have been made and reduce the chances of the same point being made several times.

Candidates did not answer all of the questions

It has been noted that a number of candidates do not attempt all of the questions and of course where a candidate does not provide an answer to a question, no marks can be awarded. This seriously affects the potential marks available and the possibility of achieving a pass. Course providers must emphasise the importance of attempting all questions in order to maximise the opportunity to attract marks.

There can be several reasons for this issue: running out of the allocated time for the examination, not knowing the answer to the question, or forgetting to answer a question.
Questions can be answered in any order and answers can be written in any order in the answer book provided. Candidates are advised to clearly keep track of questions they have attempted, such as marking them on the question paper that would minimise the risk of inadvertently missing a question to answer.

If the subject of the question is unfamiliar or the answer is not known, then it will be challenging to provide an answer. This can result from rote-learning and preparing for an examination with a number of memorised answers, or simply not being adequately prepared for the examination across the breadth of the syllabus. There is always the risk of a candidate ‘going blank’ in an examination situation, in which case candidates should be prepared with some techniques to help. Rather than trying to remember what was taught or what has been read, ask yourself ‘what would I do, in this situation?’. Reference to personal application or experience is sometimes enough to stimulate an answer that otherwise may have been missed. Alternatively, candidates can go back to first principles and break a question down into elements such as ‘people’, ‘equipment’, ‘materials’ and the ‘working environment’. Approaching a question in small sections can minimise the risk of being overwhelmed by it as a whole.

Running out of time can be avoided by having an examination time plan and working to it. The question paper advises that you should spend 30 minutes on the long answer (question 1) and 90 minutes on the remaining ten short answer questions. This will provide around 9 minutes per short answer, follow the clock and when the time per question has expired, move on. Answering a question partly is better than not answering at all.

**Candidates did not allocate enough time to the question / Time management**

In a number of cases question 1 is left until last or later in the question paper and does not appear to be answered completely. Other candidates appear to rush the last one or two questions by providing very brief or bullet point answers, even when these questions require an outline. This indicates a lack of time management. It is advised that course providers and candidates spend time developing the skill of writing answers to questions bearing in mind the number of marks and time available. A 20-mark question requires significantly more detail than an 8-mark question.

Candidates might benefit from writing abbreviations to save time and to recognise that there is no need to write out the question at the beginning of their answer. Standard abbreviations such as HSE, RIDDOR, COSHH, PPE and DSE are acceptable.

**Candidates’ handwriting was illegible**

Sometimes Examiners have difficulty in reading the handwriting of some candidates. Although allowances are made for candidates under the pressure of an examination, course providers must remind candidates that their writing needs to be legible or valuable marks may not be picked up during marking.

There is a minimum literacy requirement for candidates on NEBOSH qualifications. As stated in the syllabus guides the standard of English required by candidates studying for Certificate level must be such that they can both understand and articulate the concepts contained in the syllabus.

NEBOSH recommends to accredited course providers that candidates taking this qualification should reach a minimum standard of English equivalent to an International English Language Testing System score of 6.0 or higher in IELTS tests in order to be accepted onto a Certificate level programme.

For further information please see the latest version of the IELTS Handbook or consult the IELTS website: [https://www.ielts.org/about-the-test/test-format](https://www.ielts.org/about-the-test/test-format)

Candidates wishing to assess their own language expertise may consult the IELTS website for information on taking the test: [https://www.ielts.org](https://www.ielts.org)

Course providers are reminded that they must ensure that these standards are satisfied or additional tuition provided to ensure accessible and inclusive lifelong learning.
Command words

Please note that the examples used here are for the purpose of explanation only.

Outline

The command word ‘outline’ is by far the most challenging for candidates. Referring to the NEBOSH guidance on command words available on the NEBOSH website, ‘outline’ means “To indicate the principal features or different parts of”.

Many candidates do not give sufficient detail in order to warrant an ‘outline’ answer. The NEBOSH guidance on command word states that “an exhaustive description is not required. What is sought is a brief summary of the major aspects of whatever is stated in the question”.

If the use of the command word in everyday language or conversation is considered it may help the candidate understand what is required. If asked to outline the risks to an operator when manually closing a valve’ an answer such as ‘cuts, bruises, burns and strains’ would be insufficient as this represents a listed answer. However, ‘cuts from contact with sharp edges of the hand wheel, bruises from impact with adjacent plant items, burns from contact with adjacent uninsulated pipe work and strains from using excessive force’ would be sufficient.

Explain

The command word ‘explain’ requires the candidate to provide an understanding of the subject of the question and will usually be used in conjunction with ‘why’ or ‘how’. Such as explain how an interlocked guard operates’ or explain why a forklift truck may overturn’. 

Some candidates approach an ‘explain’ question the same as an ‘outline’ and provide a number of individual points rather than providing an explanation as to how something operates or why something occurs. While some candidates do answer such questions sufficiently and satisfactorily, other candidates have difficulty in explaining in a logical sequence and many repeat the same point.

Identify

‘Identify’ questions require the name or title of an item, such as, ‘identify the effects of electricity on the human body’, or ‘identify the features of a vehicle route’. In most cases one or two words will be sufficient and further detail will not be required to gain the marks.

For example, if asked to identify types of equipment found in an office’ appropriate answers could be personal computer, printer, telephone, photocopier, etc. There would be no need to embellish those points with a description of the equipment or its function.

However, in contrast to ‘outline’ answers being too brief, many candidates feel obliged to expand ‘identify’ answers into too much detail, with the possible perception that more words equals more marks. This is not the case and course providers should use the NEBOSH guidance on command words within their examination preparation sessions in order to prepare candidates for the command words that may arise.

Describe

The command word ‘describe’ clearly requires a description of something. The NEBOSH guidance on command words says that ‘describe’ requires a detailed written account of the distinctive features of a topic such that another person would be able to visualise what was being described.

If asked to describe the clock in the examination room, a person would have little difficulty in doing so and would most probably refer to its shape, its size, the colour of the clock and the style of numerals. Answers to such a question would almost certainly not result in general unconnected information about clocks, the history of clocks, or an explanation of why the clock is present in the room. Candidates should consider the general use of the command word when providing examination answers.
Give

‘Give’ questions require a statement that is relevant to the subject asked for in the question but additional explanation is not required. Often, ‘give’ questions ask for the meaning of a particular term. While detailed explanation of the application of the term would not be required, a correct knowledge of the term itself is needed in order for the Examiner to award marks.

For additional guidance, please see NEBOSH’s ‘Guidance on command words used in learning outcomes and question papers’ document, which is available on our website: https://www.nebosh.org.uk/i-am/a-student/ - from this page the document can be found by clicking on the relevant Qualification link, then on the ‘Resources’ tab.