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Introduction

NEBOSH (The National Examination Board in Occupational Safety and Health) was formed in 1979 as an independent examining board and awarding body with charitable status. We offer a comprehensive range of globally-recognised, vocationally-related qualifications designed to meet the health, safety, environmental and risk management needs of all places of work in both the private and public sectors.

Courses leading to NEBOSH qualifications attract around 50,000 candidates annually and are offered by over 600 course providers, with examinations taken in over 120 countries around the world. Our qualifications are recognised by the relevant professional membership bodies including the Institution of Occupational Safety and Health (IOSH) and the International Institute of Risk and Safety Management (IIRSM).

NEBOSH is an awarding body that applies best practice setting, assessment and marking and applies to Scottish Qualifications Authority (SQA) Accreditation regulatory requirements.

This report provides guidance for candidates and course providers for use in preparation for future examinations. It is intended to be constructive and informative and to promote better understanding of the syllabus content and the application of assessment criteria.

© NEBOSH 2018

Any enquiries about this report publication should be addressed to:

NEBOSH
Dominus Way
Meridian Business Park
Leicester
LE19 1QW

tel: 0116 263 4700
fax: 0116 282 4000
email: info@nebosh.org.uk
Many candidates are well prepared for this unit assessment and provide comprehensive and relevant answers in response to the demands of the question paper. This includes the ability to demonstrate understanding of knowledge by applying it to workplace situations.

There are other candidates, however, who appear to be unprepared for the unit assessment and who show both a lack of knowledge of the syllabus content and a lack of understanding of how key concepts should be applied to workplace situations.

This report has been prepared to provide feedback on the standard date IFC1 examination sat in March 2018.

Feedback is presented in these key areas: responses to questions, examination technique and command words and is designed to assist candidates and course providers prepare for future assessments in this unit.

Candidates and course providers will also benefit from use of the ‘Guide to the NEBOSH International Certificate in Fire Safety and Risk Management’ which is available via the NEBOSH website. In particular, the guide sets out in detail the syllabus content for IFC1 and tutor reference documents for each Element.

Additional guidance on command words is provided in ‘Guidance on command words used in learning outcomes and question papers’ which is also available via the NEBOSH website.

Candidates and course providers should also make reference to the FC1 ‘Example question paper and Examiners’ feedback on expected answers’ which provides example questions and details Examiners’ expectations and typical areas of underperformance.
Unit IFC1
International fire safety and risk management

Question 1
The premises of a large manufacturing organisation has been damaged by fire and smoke. The premises has a timber workshop and storage areas on the ground floor, and offices on the first floor.

An investigation found that the fire started accidentally. The fire alarm activated immediately.

(a) Identify possible sources of ignition that may have been present when the fire started in the workshop. (10)

(b) Outline possible reasons why the office workers on the first floor were slow to evacuate the building. (10)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcomes 3.1: Explain the causes of fires and explosions in typical work activities and 5.3: Outline the perception and behaviour of people in the event of a fire.

There was a mixed response to this question. In part (a) many candidates identified a good range of possible sources of ignition in the given scenario. However, many candidates did not identify some basic ones such as naked flames.

There were some good responses to part (b), however there were a number of candidates who gave some incorrect answers such as the fire alarm not activating – despite the fact that the scenario stated specifically that the alarm was activated promptly. This suggested that those candidates had not fully read and understood the question. Candidates are advised to read and re-read questions carefully to ensure they fully understand what is being asked.

Question 2
(a) Give the meaning of the terms:
   (i) upper flammable limit (UFL); (2)
   (ii) lower flammable limit (LFL). (2)

(b) Identify properties of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG). (4)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 2.2: Explain the principles and conditions for the ignition of solids, liquids and gases.

Most candidates appeared to know the meanings of upper and lower flammable limits and gave reasonable answers, although few gave a full definition in order to gain full marks. Answers to part (b) were good, with the majority of candidates giving a good range of properties of liquefied petroleum gas such as it being heavier than air and being less dense than water.

Question 3
Identify sources of information that could be referenced when carrying out a fire risk assessment in a workplace. (8)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 6.2: Outline the principles and practice of fire safety risk assessments including principles of prevention (measures to remove and reduce risk).
There were some good responses to this question with many candidates giving a good range of relevant information sources. However, there were some candidates who gave an answer describing the five steps to risk assessment, which was not asked for. This misinterpretation has been reported before in questions related to aspects of risk assessment. It is very important that candidates read and re-read the question with care to reduce the chances of misinterpreting the question and giving an irrelevant answer for which no marks can be awarded.

**Question 4**

Explain how the following can provide a safe means of escape from a building:

(a) alternative escape routes;  
(b) escape distances;  
(c) number and size of escape routes.

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 4.2: Explain the requirements of a means of escape.

Candidates had difficulty in answering this question with many giving little relevant information to show how safe means of escape can be provided. Part (a) related to use of alternative escape routes to use if an escape route is inaccessible during a fire evacuation. Many candidates gave some specific travel distances in part (b) which was not required by the question. In part (c) the impact that the number of occupants in a building, for example, may have in determining the width and number of escape routes was required.

**Question 5**

Outline what should be considered when developing an evacuation procedure for a shared-occupancy office building.

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 5.2: Describe the development and maintenance of a fire evacuation procedure.

Many candidates gave good answers to this question, with a broad range of issues such as location of assembly points, action to take on discovering a fire, and means of contacting the emergency services.

The majority of candidates who were awarded fewer marks did so because they provided a list of factors rather than giving sufficient depth to satisfy the ‘outline’ command word in the question. It is important that candidates take note of the command word used and write answers that satisfy the depth required. NEBOSH’s guidance on command words is available on the website and gives details of the meaning of the command words used in questions; there is also further detail in the ‘Command words’ section of this report.
Question 6
(a) **Outline** what is meant by the term ‘degraded systems planning’.  
(2)
(b) **Outline** arrangements that should be implemented if a smoke detector head is not working.  
(3)
(c) *Other than* smoke detectors, **identify** fire safety-related systems that should be considered for degraded systems planning.  
(3)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 1.2: Outline the key features of a fire safety policy.

Most candidates had difficulty answering this question with some not attempting it at all. Most candidates did not seem to know that degraded systems planning relates to plans that are made to be implemented when safety systems do not operate for a number of reasons. Most candidates did not outline suitable arrangements to implement if a smoke detector did not operate. However, some candidates did identify other systems that should be considered for degraded systems planning such as alarm sounders and sprinkler systems.

Question 7
**Identify FOUR** component parts of a fire alarm system AND outline the function of EACH.  
(8)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 4.3: Outline the methods and systems available to give early warning in case of fire, both for life safety and property protection.

Many candidates seemed to have difficulty in answering this question fully with some not attempting it. Most candidates appeared to misunderstand the question and gave component parts of sprinkler or suppression systems, which was not asked for. Some candidates identified correct component parts but then did not go on to outline the function of those parts to gain better marks. Again, it is advised that candidates read and re-read questions carefully to help reduce the chances of them misinterpreting what is required by the question.

Question 8
**Outline** fire precautions that should be considered when carrying out hot work.  
(8)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 3.2: Outline appropriate control measures to minimise fire and explosion risks.

The majority of candidates had no difficulty in outlining suitable fire precautions for hot work activities, such as providing fire-fighting equipment and deploying a fire watch during the work. Some candidates gave insufficient detail for an ‘outline’ question, again illustrating the importance of taking note of the command word used in questions.
Question 9  Practitioners may use different guidance documents when devising protective and preventive measures to control fire safety risk. These documents may come from their own or other countries.

Outline what should be considered when consulting the guidance from these documents.  (8)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 1.4: Outline the main sources of external fire safety information and the principles of their application.

Most candidates did not attempt this question or gave limited answers which limited marks that could be awarded. Candidates appeared to have difficulty in outlining what should be considered before making use of guidance documents, such as ensuring the guidance is relevant to the workplace concerned and that the guidance is recognised in their country.

Course providers are advised to ensure this part of the syllabus is taught fully and candidates are advised to liaise with their course providers if they require further help on this or any other topic they feel they do not understand.

Question 10  Identify FOUR methods by which heat may be transferred during a fire AND describe how EACH can cause the fire to spread.  (8)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 2.4: Describe the principles of fire growth and fire spread.

The majority of candidates showed no difficulty in giving methods of heat transfer and describing how they can cause a fire to spread. Most candidates were awarded high marks for this question.

Question 11  Outline control measures that could be used in order to reduce the risk from dangerous substances in a workplace.  (8)

This question assessed candidates’ knowledge and understanding of learning outcome 6.4: Outline measures to be taken to control risk in respect of dangerous substances.

Most candidates seemed to have difficulty with this question with many giving controls more suited to the storage of flammable liquids, rather than those used to reduce risk from dangerous substances. Suitable controls that would have been relevant in answers included those to prevent the formation of an explosive atmosphere and the provision of suitable explosion pressure relief.
Examination technique

The following issues are consistently identified as the main areas in need of improvement for candidates taking Certificate level qualifications:

Candidates misread/misinterpreted the question

Candidates misreading or misinterpreting the question is by far the most common cause of candidates not gaining the maximum marks available.

NEBOSH questions are systematically and carefully prepared and are subject to a number of checks and balances prior to being authorised for use in question papers. These checks include ensuring that questions set for the Certificate level qualifications relate directly to the learning outcomes contained within the associated syllabus guides. The learning outcomes require candidates to be sufficiently prepared to provide the relevant depth of answer across a broad range of subject areas. For example, a candidate could be asked about the causes of stress, or could be asked about the effects of stress. A question could require a response relating to the principles of fire initiation, or a question could require a response relating to the spread of fire. Therefore, a candidate should focus not only on the general topic area (eg. stress, fire) but also the specific aspect of that subject to which the question relates.

Candidates must also pay attention to the command word. For example, a question could ask candidates to ‘identify the hazards associated with demolition work’, or a question from the same element could ask candidates to ‘outline the control measures required during demolition work’. Candidates appear to focus solely on the object of the question (demolition) and do not pay sufficient attention to the subject (hazards or control measures in the examples given) or the command word (‘identify’ or ‘outline’ in the examples given). There is often some confusion between hazard and risk. If a question requires an outline of hazards for a given situation, candidates must be careful not to provide risks, or even in some circumstances precautions, as they will not be able to attract marks.

Examiners suggest that while many candidates do begin their answer satisfactorily and perhaps gain one or two marks, they then lose sight of the question and include irrelevant information. Although further points included in an answer can relate to the general subject area, these points are not focused on the specific learning outcome and marks cannot be awarded. However, some candidates appear to misread or misinterpret several questions. This situation is more likely due to candidates preparing for the examination with a number of memorised answers obtained through rote-learning, that again can provide answers that are loosely associated with the subject matter but do not provide answers specific to the question. Such an approach is clearly evident to an Examiner and demonstrates little understanding of the subject matter and marks are not awarded.

Candidates are advised to allow sufficient time to read and re-read the question in order to determine the key requirements prior to committing their answer to paper. Preparing a time plan before the examination will indicate how many minutes are available for each question and then part of this time allocation can be given to reading the question. Underlining or highlighting key words can assist in keeping focused on the salient points and simple mind maps or answer plans can also be useful. Maps and plans should be kept simple so as not to use up too much examination time.

Candidates did not respond effectively to the command word

A key indicator a question will be the command word, which is always given in bold typeface. The command word will indicate the depth of answer that is expected by the candidate and relates to the amount of detail that should be included in each point of the answer.

The learning outcomes in each element of all syllabus guides include the relevant command word that dictates the level of detail that should be covered in a course of study and the depth of answer that a candidate would be expected to provide in an answer to an examination question.

Examiners report that candidates continue to incorrectly observe the command words and therefore compromise their ability to gain the marks available. The majority of cases where command words are not observed relate to insufficient detail being given by a candidate in their examination answer. A significant number of candidates, irrespective of the command word given in the question, provide all answers in the form of a brief list of one or two words. This would normally not be sufficient to gain marks where the command word given was ‘outline’, ‘explain’ or ‘describe’, all of which require answers of more than one or two words.
Some candidates do provide too much information, which would not be required where a command word limits the expected answer to ‘give’ or ‘identify’. Candidates would not be penalised for providing excessive detail but this would not be an efficient use of the time allocated.

Course providers should ensure that learning materials complement the command words in the syllabus guide and the NEBOSH guidance on command words and that sufficient time is given to advising candidates on suitable examination technique during a course of study.

Candidates unnecessarily wrote the question down

Developing a time plan is a key element in preparing for an examination. Advice included on Certificate question papers suggests that 30 minutes should be allocated for the answer to the long 20-mark question, and 90 minutes should be allocated to the answers for the remaining ten, 8-mark short questions. Therefore there are around 9 minutes available to answer an 8-mark question. This time will be required for reading the question properly at least twice, developing an answer plan, and then committing the answer to paper while regularly referring back to the question in order to maintain focus. Therefore any inefficient use of this time should be avoided.

The efficient use of this time is essential in order to ensure that all questions can be answered within the 2 hours available. Many candidates feel it necessary to write out the question, in full, prior to providing their answer and although this practice will not lose marks it will lose valuable time. A significant number of candidates do not answer all of the questions in the time permitted and do not complete the question paper, some of whom obviously run out of time.

Candidates provided rote-learned responses that did not fit the question

It is clear that there are a significant number of candidates who seem to recite answers in the examination that have been rote-learned in advance and do not answer the question.

While knowledge of material forms a part of the study for a Certificate-level qualification, a key aspect being assessed is a candidate’s understanding of the subject and reciting a pre-prepared and memorised answer will not show a candidate's understanding. In fact, if a candidate gives a memorised answer to a question that may look similar, but actually is asking for a different aspect of a topic in the syllabus, it shows a lack of understanding of the subject and will inevitably result in low marks being awarded for that answer.

Candidates repeated the same points but in different ways / Candidates provided the same answer to different questions

There are instances where candidates repeat very similar points in their answers, sometimes a number of times. This is easily done in the stressful environment of the examination. However, once a point has been successfully made and a mark awarded for it, that mark cannot be awarded again for similar points made later in the answer.

Candidates are advised to practise examination technique in their preparations to avoid this kind of pitfall. Writing an answer plan where points can be ticked off when made, or structuring an answer so that each point made is clearly shown, for example by underlining key points, can be of great use. This technique aids candidates and makes it much clearer in the stress of the examination for candidates to see which points have been made and reduce the chances of the same point being made several times.

Candidates did not answer all of the questions

It has been noted that a number of candidates do not attempt all of the questions and of course where a candidate does not provide an answer to a question, no marks can be awarded. This seriously affects the potential marks available and the possibility of achieving a pass. Course providers must emphasise the importance of attempting all questions in order to maximise the opportunity to attract marks.

There can be several reasons for this issue: running out of the allocated time for the examination, not knowing the answer to the question, or forgetting to answer a question.

Questions can be answered in any order and answers can be written in any order in the answer book provided. Candidates are advised to clearly keep track of questions they have attempted, such as
marking them on the question paper that would minimise the risk of inadvertently missing a question to answer.

If the subject of the question is unfamiliar or the answer is not known, then it will be challenging to provide an answer. This can result from rote-learning and preparing for an examination with a number of memorised answers, or simply not being adequately prepared for the examination across the breadth of the syllabus. There is always the risk of a candidate ‘going blank’ in an examination situation, in which case candidates should be prepared with some techniques to help. Rather than trying to remember what was taught or what has been read, ask yourself ‘what would I do, in this situation?’.

Reference to personal application or experience is sometimes enough to stimulate an answer that otherwise may have been missed. Alternatively, candidates can go back to first principles and break a question down into elements such as ‘people’, ‘equipment’, ‘materials’ and the ‘working environment’. Approaching a question in small sections can minimise the risk of being overwhelmed by it as a whole.

Running out of time can be avoided by having an examination time plan and working to it. The question paper advises that you should spend 30 minutes on the long answer (question 1) and 90 minutes on the remaining ten short answer questions. This will provide around 9 minutes per short answer, follow the clock and when the time per question has expired, move on. Answering a question partly is better than not answering at all.

**Candidates did not allocate enough time to the question / Time management**

In a number of cases question 1 is left until last or later in the question paper and does not appear to be answered completely. Other candidates appear to rush the last one or two questions by providing very brief or bullet point answers, even when these questions require an outline. This indicates a lack of time management. It is advised that course providers and candidates spend time developing the skill of writing answers to questions bearing in mind the number of marks and time available. A 20-mark question requires significantly more detail than an 8-mark question.

Candidates might benefit from writing abbreviations to save time and to recognise that there is no need to write out the question at the beginning of their answer. Standard abbreviations such as HSE, RIDDOR, COSHH, PPE and DSE are acceptable.

**Candidates’ handwriting was illegible**

Sometimes Examiners have difficulty in reading the handwriting of some candidates. Although allowances are made for candidates under the pressure of an examination, course providers must remind candidates that their writing needs to be legible or valuable marks may not be picked up during marking.

There is a minimum literacy requirement for candidates on NEBOSH qualifications. As stated in the syllabus guides the standard of English required by candidates studying for Certificate level must be such that they can both understand and articulate the concepts contained in the syllabus.

NEBOSH recommends to accredited course providers that candidates taking this qualification should reach a minimum standard of English equivalent to an International English Language Testing System score of 6.0 or higher in IELTS tests in order to be accepted onto a Certificate level programme.

For further information please see the latest version of the IELTS Handbook or consult the IELTS website: [https://www.ielts.org/about-the-test/test-format](https://www.ielts.org/about-the-test/test-format)

Candidates wishing to assess their own language expertise may consult the IELTS website for information on taking the test: [https://www.ielts.org](https://www.ielts.org)

Course providers are reminded that they must ensure that these standards are satisfied or additional tuition provided to ensure accessible and inclusive lifelong learning.
Command words

Please note that the examples used here are for the purpose of explanation only.

Outline

The command word ‘outline’ is by far the most challenging for candidates. Referring to the NEBOSH guidance on command words available on the NEBOSH website, ‘outline’ means “To indicate the principal features or different parts of”.

Many candidates do not give sufficient detail in order to warrant an ‘outline’ answer. The NEBOSH guidance on command word states that “an exhaustive description is not required. What is sought is a brief summary of the major aspects of whatever is stated in the question”.

If the use of the command word in everyday language or conversation is considered it may help the candidate understand what is required. If asked to ‘outline’ the risks to an operator when manually closing a valve’ an answer such as ‘cuts, bruises, burns and strains’ would be insufficient as this represents a listed answer. However, ‘cuts from contact with sharp edges of the hand wheel, bruises from impact with adjacent plant items, burns from contact with adjacent uninsulated pipe work and strains from using excessive force’ would be sufficient.

Explain

The command word ‘explain’ requires the candidate to provide an understanding of the subject of the question and will usually be used in conjunction with ‘why’ or ‘how’. Such as ‘explain how an interlocked guard operates’ or ‘explain why a forklift truck may overturn’.

Some candidates approach an ‘explain’ question the same as an ‘outline’ and provide a number of individual points rather than providing an explanation as to how something operates or why something occurs. While some candidates do answer such questions sufficiently and satisfactorily, other candidates have difficulty in explaining in a logical sequence and many repeat the same point.

Identify

‘Identify’ questions require the name or title of an item, such as, ‘identify the effects of electricity on the human body’, or ‘identify the features of a vehicle route’. In most cases one or two words will be sufficient and further detail will not be required to gain the marks.

For example, if asked to ‘identify types of equipment found in an office’ appropriate answers could be personal computer, printer, telephone, photocopier, etc. There would be no need to embellish those points with a description of the equipment or its function.

However, in contrast to ‘outline’ answers being too brief, many candidates feel obliged to expand ‘identify’ answers into too much detail, with the possible perception that more words equals more marks. This is not the case and course providers should use the NEBOSH guidance on command words within their examination preparation sessions in order to prepare candidates for the command words that may arise.

Describe

The command word ‘describe’ clearly requires a description of something. The NEBOSH guidance on command words says that ‘describe’ requires a detailed written account of the distinctive features of a topic such that another person would be able to visualise what was being described.

If asked to describe the clock in the examination room, a person would have little difficulty in doing so and would most probably refer to its shape, its size, the colour of the clock and the style of numerals. Answers to such a question would almost certainly not result in general unconnected information about clocks, the history of clocks, or an explanation of why the clock is present in the room. Candidates should consider the general use of the command word when providing examination answers.
Give

‘Give’ questions require a statement that is relevant to the subject asked for in the question but additional explanation is not required. Often, ‘give’ questions ask for the meaning of a particular term. While detailed explanation of the application of the term would not be required, a correct knowledge of the term itself is needed in order for the Examiner to award marks.

For additional guidance, please see NEBOSH’s ‘Guidance on command words used in learning outcomes and question papers’ document, which is available on our website: www.nebosh.org.uk/students/default.asp?cref=1345&ct=2.